

Convex Bodies with Few Faces

by

Keith Ball⁽¹⁾

Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843

and

Alain Pajor
U.E.R. de Mathématiques
Université de Paris VII
2 Place Jussieu
75251 PARIS CEDEX 05

Abstract. It is proved that if $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are vectors in $\mathbf{R}^k$, $k \leq n$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and

$$r = \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_1^n |u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

then the volume of the symmetric convex body whose boundary functionals are $\pm u_1, \dots, \pm u_n$, is bounded from below as

$$|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^k: |\langle x, u_i \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } i\}|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho} r}.$$

An application to number theory is stated.

A.M.S. (1980) Subject Classification: 52A20, 10E05

⁽¹⁾Partially supported by N.S.F. DMS-8807243.

§0. Introduction.

In [V], Vaaler proved that if $Q_n = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^n$ is the central unit cube in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and U is a subspace of $\mathbf{R}^n$ then the volume $|U \cap Q_n|$, of the section of Q_n by U is at least 1. This result may be reformulated as follows: if $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are vectors in $\mathbf{R}^k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ whose Euclidean lengths satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 \leq k$ then

$$|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^k: |\langle x, u_i \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } i\}|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq 2.$$

A related theorem, (Theorem 1, below) in which the condition $\sum |u_i|^2 \leq k$ is replaced by $\max_i |u_i| \leq 1$ was proved by Carl and Pajor [C-P] and Gluskin [G]. Gluskin's methods enable him to obtain sharp results in limiting cases which in turn have applications in harmonic analysis. Results closely related to Theorem 1 were also obtained by Bárány and Füredi [B-F] and Bourgain, Lindenstrauss and Milman [B-L-M].

Theorem 1. There is a constant $\delta > 0$ so that if $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbf{R}^k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ are vectors of length at most 1 then

$$|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^k: |\langle x, u_i \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } i\}|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1 + \log \frac{n}{k}}}.$$

The estimate is best possible if n is at most exponential in k , apart from the value of the constant δ . This is demonstrated by an example which had appeared some time earlier in a paper of Figiel and Johnson, [F-J]. Theorem 1 gives a lower bound on the volume ratios of the unit balls of k -dimensional subspaces of ℓ_∞^n and hence on the distance of these subspaces from Euclidean space.

Regarding Theorem 1 as a “ $p = \infty$ ” version of Vaaler's “ $p = 2$ ” result, Kashin asked whether a similar result holds for $2 < p < \infty$. This question is answered in the affirmative by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbf{R}^k$ with $k \leq n$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $r = (\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then

$$|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^k: |\langle x, u_i \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } i\}|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{pr}} & \text{if } p \geq 2 \\ \frac{1}{r} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

The lower bound is best possible (up to a constant) provided $e^p k \leq n \leq e^k$.

Remark. The slightly stronger result for $p \geq 2$ is isolated since for $p = 2$ it gives back exactly Vaaler's result.

Theorem 1 follows immediately from Theorem 2 by a standard optimisation argument. If $(u_i)_1^n$ in $\mathbf{R}^k$ all have norm at most 1 then for any $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_1^n |u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\frac{n}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

so that

$$|\{x: |\langle x, u_i \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } i\}|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{p} \left(\frac{n}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

(for $p \geq 2$) and the latter is at least $\frac{2}{\sqrt{e} \sqrt{1 + \log \frac{n}{k}}}$ when $p = 2(1 + \log \frac{n}{k})$.

With the careful use of well-known methods for estimating the entropy of convex bodies it is possible to obtain more general (but less precise) estimates than that provided by Theorem 2; (see [B-P]). The purpose of this paper is to provide a very short proof of Theorem 2 and, a fortiori, Theorem 1.

Vaaler originally proved his theorem because of its applications to the geometry of numbers. The last section of this paper includes a statement of the generalisation of Siegel's lemma which follows from Theorem 2.

§1. The lower bound.

The proof of Theorem 2 makes use of the following result from [Me-P] which was designed to extend Vaaler's theorem in a different direction: it estimates the volumes of sections of the unit balls of the spaces ℓ_p^n , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let

$$B_p^n = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \leq 1 \right\}$$

be the unit ball of ℓ_p^n .

Theorem 3. Let U be a k -dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{R}^n$; if $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ then

$$\frac{|B_p^n \cap U|}{|B_p^k|} \leq \frac{|B_q^n \cap U|}{|B_q^k|}. \quad \square$$

Remark. The case $p = 2, q = \infty$ is Vaaler's theorem since then, the left side is 1 and the inequality states that

$$|B_\infty^n \cap U| \geq |B_\infty^k| \geq 2^k.$$

For notational convenience, the proof of Theorem 2 is divided into several short lemmas. The first is no more than a convenient form of Hölder's inequality. For $k \in \mathbf{N}$, S^{k-1} will denote the Euclidean sphere in $\mathbf{R}^k$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{k-1}$, the rotationally invariant probability measure on S^{k-1} . Also let v_k be the volume of the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^k$.

Lemma 4. Let C and B be symmetric convex bodies in $\mathbf{R}^k$ with Minkowski gauges $\|\cdot\|_C$ and $\|\cdot\|_B$ respectively. Then for $p > 0$

$$\left(\frac{|C|}{|B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq \left(\frac{k+p}{k|B|} \int_B \|x\|_C^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{|C|}{|B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} &= \left(\frac{v_k}{|B|} \int_{S^{k-1}} \|\theta\|_C^{-k} d\sigma(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ &= \left(\frac{kv_k}{|B|} \int_{S^{k-1}} \left(\frac{\|\theta\|_B}{\|\theta\|_C} \right)^k \int_0^{\|\theta\|_B^{-1}} r^{k-1} dr d\sigma(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left(\frac{\|x\|_B}{\|x\|_C} \right)^k dx \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left(\frac{\|x\|_B}{\|x\|_C} \right)^{-p} dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \left(\frac{k+p}{k|B|} \int_B \|x\|_C^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

(Lemma 4 appears in [Mi-P] as Corollary 2.2.)

Lemma 5. Suppose $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbf{R}^k$ with $k \leq n$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^k: |\langle x, u_i \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } i\}|^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
&\geq 2 \left(\frac{k+p}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |\langle x, u_i \rangle|^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define $T: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ by $(Tx)_i = \langle x, u_i \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $U = T(\mathbf{R}^k)$. The problem is to estimate from below

$$|T^{-1}(B_\infty^n)|^{\frac{1}{k}} = |T^{-1}(U \cap B_\infty^n)|^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

By Theorem 3,

$$|U \cap B_\infty^n|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq 2 \left(\frac{|U \cap B_p^n|}{|B_p^k|} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

and so

$$|T^{-1}(B_\infty^n)|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq 2 \left(\frac{|T^{-1}(B_p^n)|}{|B_p^k|} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Regard T as an operator: $\ell_p^k \rightarrow \ell_p^n$. Then by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \left(\frac{|T^{-1}(B_p^n)|}{|B_p^k|} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} &\geq 2 \left(\frac{k+p}{k|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} \|Tx\|^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= 2 \left(\frac{k+p}{k|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x, u_i \rangle|^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $(u_i)_1^n$ and p be as above. For each i let v_i be the unit vector in the direction of u_i . By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^k: |\langle x, u_i \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } i\}|^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
& \geq 2 \left(\frac{k+p}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |\langle x, u_i \rangle|^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
& = 2 \left(\frac{k+p}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^p \cdot \frac{1}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |\langle x, v_i \rangle|^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \geq 2 \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^p \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \min \left(\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |\langle x, v \rangle|^p dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

where the minimum is taken over all vectors v of Euclidean length 1. So to complete the proof it suffices to show that for such a vector v ,

$$\left(\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |\langle x, v \rangle|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } p \geq 2 \\ 2 & \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $(x^{(j)})_1^k$ and $(v^{(j)})_1^k$ be the coordinates of the vectors x and v in $\mathbf{R}^k$. For $p \geq 2$, observe that the functions $(x^{(j)}v^{(j)})$ on B_p^k form a conditionally symmetric sequence, so by Khintchine's inequality and Hölder's inequality (for $\sum_1^n v^{(j)2} = 1$),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} \left| \sum_1^k x^{(j)}v^{(j)} \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} \left(\sum_1^k x^{(j)2}v^{(j)2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} \sum_1^n |x^{(j)}|^p \cdot v^{(j)2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& = \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |x^{(1)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& = \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq p < 2$ it is easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |\langle x, v \rangle|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq (k+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} \langle x, v \rangle^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& = (k+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} (x^{(1)})^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

and the last expression can be (rather roughly) estimated by 2 using standard inequalities involving logarithmically concave functions. $\square$

Remark. The proof of Theorem 2 can be simplified even further if the integration over B_p^k is replaced by integration over S^{k-1} (and Hölder's inequality applied here). The proof was presented as above because Lemma 5 has some intrinsic interest: for example it may be used to recover Gluskin's precise estimate as follows. Suppose $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and the vectors $(z_i)_1^m \in \mathbf{R}^k$ satisfy

$$|z_i| \leq \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{m}{k} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $W(\varepsilon)$ be the set

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^k : \max_j |x^{(j)}| \leq 1, \max_i |\langle x, z_i \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\}:$$

that is, $W(\varepsilon)$ is the intersection of the cube B_∞^k with m "bands" of width at most $\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\log(1 + \frac{m}{k})}$. Then $|W(\varepsilon)|^{\frac{1}{k}} \rightarrow 2$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in k and m . To see this, apply Lemma 5 with $n = k + m$, the first k, u_i 's being the standard basis vectors of $\mathbf{R}^k$ and the remaining m being the vectors $(\varepsilon z_i)_1^m$. If e_j is a standard basis vector,

$$\frac{k+p}{|B_p^k|} \int_{B_p^k} |\langle x, e_j \rangle|^p dx = 1$$

and so Lemma 5 (and the proof of Theorem 2) show that for each $p \geq 2$, $|W(\varepsilon)|^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq 2(1 + \frac{m}{k} (\frac{p}{2})^{\frac{p}{2}} \varepsilon^p (\log(1 + \frac{m}{k}))^{-\frac{p}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ and the latter is at least $\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{e} \cdot \varepsilon}$ if $p = \max(2, 2 \log(1 + \frac{m}{k}))$. $\square$

As was briefly mentioned earlier, more general estimates than that of Theorem 2 are obtained in [B-P] (for entropy numbers instead of volumes). It is worth noting however that even the argument of Theorem 2 can be used to give the following: there is a constant c so that if $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbf{R}^k, k \leq n$ and $T: \ell_2^k \rightarrow \ell_\infty^n$ is given by $(Tx)_i = \langle u_i, x \rangle, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then the k^{th} entropy number of T satisfies

$$e_k(T) \leq \frac{c\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_1^n |u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + \log \frac{n}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and hence

$$e_k(T) \leq \frac{ec}{\sqrt{k}} \sqrt{1 + \log \frac{n}{k}} \cdot \|T\|$$

(taking $p = 2(1 + \log \frac{n}{k})$). To obtain this one uses Schütt's estimates, [5], for the entropy numbers of the formal identity from ℓ_p^n to ℓ_∞^n in place of the result of Meyer and Pajor, and the dual Sudakov inequality of Pajor and Tomczak, [P-T] in place of the application of Hölder's inequality.

§2. An application to linear forms.

As stated in the introduction, Vaaler's original result has applications to the geometry of numbers. One such, a sharpened form of Siegel's lemma, is given in [B-V]. Using the arguments of Bombieri and Vaaler and Theorem 2, one can obtain the generalisation of their result, contained in Theorem 6, below. Some notation is needed. If A is a $k \times n$ matrix of reals with independent rows ($1 \leq k \leq n$), denote by $v_j = v_j(A)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, the rows of A . Let $(e_i)_1^n$ be the standard basis of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and denote by c_i , the distance (in the Euclidean norm) of e_i from the span of the v_j 's in $\mathbf{R}^n$. (So if A_i is the matrix with $k+1$ rows, $v_1, \dots, v_k, e_i$ then

$$c_i^2 = \frac{\det(A_i A_i^*)}{\det(A A^*)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.)$$

Theorem 6. Let A be a $k \times n$ matrix with rank k and integral entries. With the notation above, the system $Ax = 0$ admits $n - k$ linearly independent solutions

$$z^{(r)} = (z_1^{(r)}, \dots, z_n^{(r)}) \in \mathbf{Z}^n, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n - k$$

so that for every $p \geq 2$,

$$\prod_{1 \leq r \leq n-k} \max_i |z_i^{(r)}| \leq D^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{n-k} \sum_1^n c_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sqrt{\det A A^*}$$

where D denotes the G-C-D of all $k \times k$ determinants extracted from A . □

Remark. The principal importance of such a generalisation of Bombieri and Vaaler's result is that it takes into account, more strongly, the form of the matrix A . If the c_i 's are all about the same size, then for $p > 2$, the expression

$$\left(\frac{1}{n-k} \sum c_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is small compared with the corresponding expression in which p is replaced by 2.

References.

- [B-F] I. Bárány and Z. Füredi, Computing the volume is difficult, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 2 (1987), 319-326.
- [B-L-M] J. Bourgain, J. Lindenstrauss and V.D. Milman, Approximation of zonoids by zonotopes, *Acta Math.* 162 (1989), 73-141.
- [B-P] K.M. Ball and A. Pajor, On the entropy of convex bodies with “few” extreme points, in preparation.
- [B-V] E. Bombieri and J. Vaaler, On Siegel’s lemma, *Invent. Math.* 73 (1983), 11-32.
- [C-P] B. Carl and A. Pajor, Gelfand numbers of operators with values in a Hilbert space, *Invent. Math.* 94 (1988), 479-504.
- [F-J] T. Figiel and W.B. Johnson, Large subspaces of ℓ_∞^n and estimates of the Gordon-Lewis constants, *Israel J. Math.* 37 (1980), 92-112.
- [G] E.D. Gluskin, Extremal properties of rectangular parallelipeds and their applications to the geometry of Banach spaces, *Math. Sbornik*, 136 (178) (1988), 85-95.
- [Me-P] M. Meyer and A. Pajor, Sections of the unit ball of ℓ_p^n , *J. Funct. Anal.* 80 (1988), 109-123.
- [Mi-P] V.D. Milman and A. Pajor, Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n -dimensional space. Israel seminar on G.A.F.A. (1987-88), Springer-Verlag, Lecture notes in Math. #1376 (1989).
- [P-T] A. Pajor and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, Subspaces of small codimension of finite-dimensional Banach spaces, *Proc. A.M.S.* 97 (1986), 637-642.
- [S] C. Schütt, Entropy numbers of diagonal operators between symmetric Banach spaces, *Journal Approx. Th.* 40 (1984), 121-128.
- [V] J.D. Vaaler, A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms, *Pacific J. Math.* 83 (1979), 543-553.